February 6, 2020

Bid Request No. B2000034, Engineering Services for the Design of CR 66 and CR 41 Intersection Project
Weld County, Colorado

ADDENDUM NUMBER ONE

The following shall be incorporated into the CONTRACT DOCUMENTS, for the above-referenced PROJECT:

A. Contractor Questions and Responses

All other terms and conditions of the CONTRACT DOCUMENTS shall remain unchanged. BIDDER must acknowledge receipt of this addendum in the space provided in the BID. Failure to acknowledge receipt of an ADDENDUM may be cause for rejection of the BID.

A. CONTRACTOR QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES

Question 1: Can the shortlisted firms talk to property owners during the RFP process?
Answer 1: Letters notifying the landowners about the project were mailed on January 28, 2020. It has been about 1.5 years since we last contacted the property owners with respect to this project. However, the County does not object to the teams talking with the property owners. The landowners are not obligated to talk with the shortlisted firms and may refuse to have any discussions with the firms.

Question 2: What is Weld County’s position on doing some LIDAR drone flights before selection?
Answer 2: From a legal perspective, Weld County doesn’t have any guidance to offer. Since the shortlisted firms are not under contract with Weld County, we cannot prohibit the use of drone flights to obtain data that may be used in the proposal. However, the use of any drone acquired data for design purposes will be subject to Weld County’s acceptance following the signing of a contract. At a minimum, drone acquired data will have to be tied into the project coordinate system and benchmarks that have already been established by Weld County.

Question 3: If the shortlisted firms use 11x17 sheets for the Critical Issues section of the proposal and for maps, will those sheets be counted as two pages?
Answer 3: 11x17 sheets used for anything other than schedules, costs, or example plans will be counted as 2 pages. 11x17 sheets used for schedules, costs, or example plans will be counted as 1 page.

Question 4: On page 12 of the RFP, it is stated that the CDOT environmental process will be followed. Since this project does not have any CDOT funding, do we need to include all of the CDOT required reports in our proposal?
Answer 4: All of the reports typically required by CDOT for their environmental approval are not required. The following reports are not required for this project: ISA Form 881, noxious weeds report, CDOT format for Wetlands Findings, and Form 128. The County expects to receive reports on threatened and endangered species, migratory birds, wetlands delineations and identifications, 404 permitting, and SHIPO. Please ensure that Tiglas Ecological is aware of these requirements.

Question 5: Who approves water-assisted vacuum excavation?
Answer 5: Both the County and the utility owner would need sign-off on the use of water-assisted vacuum excavation.

Question 6: Would the County consider accepting test hole costs based on hourly rates?
Answer 6: No, the County will not consider accepting test hole costs based on hourly rates. As outlined in the RFP, costs based on hourly rates for test holes may result in the RFP being rejected by the County.

Question 7: Is the intent of “The facilities shall be located to a minimum Quality Level A” that Quality Level A will be achieved at the 80 test hole locations?
Answer 7: The County recognizes that Quality Level A cannot be achieved for the length of every utility
within the project area because it is not feasible to expose the entire length of a buried utility. The intent is to have Quality Level A data at the test hole locations. For example, if there are 2 test holes on a gas line and those test holes are separated by 500 feet, the County expects that the Quality Level A information will be provided at the test holes and the line between the test holes could be of a lower Quality Level. The lower Quality Level has to be determined using other information including best professional judgement. Prior to being defined as a lower Quality Level, the County expects to be notified of the reasons for the lower Quality Level.

**Question 8:** Is the County willing to take suggestions on revisions to the standard contract language?

**Answer 8:** No, the County is not willing to make revisions to the standard contract language.

Prepared By:  Clay Kimmi, P.E.
Senior Engineer
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